Office Supreme Court U. S.
FILED
OCT 9 1899
JAMES H. McKENNEY,
Clerk.

Supreme Court of the United States,

OCTOBER TERM, 1899.

No. [redacted] 259.

THE CINCINNATI, HAMILTON & DAYTON RAILWAY COMPANY,
Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

BENJAMIN F. THIEBAUD, Administrator of CHRIS SWEETMAN,
Deceased.

ON A CERTIFICATE FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT.

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION TO ADVANCE.

Printed by James Barclay, 108-118 West Canal Street, Cincinnati, Ohio.

Supreme Court of the United States,

OCTOBER TERM, 1899.

No. ——

THE CINCINNATI, HAMILTON & DAYTON RAILWAY COMPANY,
Plaintiff in Error,

vs.

BENJAMIN F. THIEBAUD, Administrator of CHRIS SWEETMAN,
Deceased.

ON A CERTIFICATE FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT.

BRIEF IN OPPOSITION TO THE MOTION TO ADVANCE.

The motion filed by the defendant in error states no ground for advancing the case, as required by rule 26, and none is known to us. We do not propose to submit the case on printed arguments under rule 20, but desire oral argument. In due time we shall ask that the case be heard with the case between the same parties, which was docketed as No. 794, for October Term, 1898, being a writ of error from this court direct to the Circuit Court to revise the judgment involved in the present proceeding.

We respectfully submit that the motion to advance should be denied.

LAWRENCE MAXWELL, JR.,
Counsel for Plaintiff in Error.